

The Notorious Notations

The Notorious Notations: Deciphering the Most Controversial Symbols in Music History

Throughout the long and intricate history of music, notation has served as both a bridge and a barrier. It is the silent language that translates sound into sight, allowing compositions to transcend time and geography. Yet, not all symbols are created equal. Some systems of writing music are celebrated for their clarity, while others have become infamous for their complexity, ambiguity, or sheer defiance of convention. This article explores **The Notorious Notations**—those controversial, often puzzling, and sometimes maddening systems that have challenged musicians, scholars, and interpreters for centuries. From the cryptic early neumes of the medieval church to the radical graphic scores of the avant-garde, we will journey

into the heart of what makes a notation truly notorious.

The Origins of Musical Notation: A Double-Edged Sword

To understand why certain notations became notorious, we must first appreciate the fundamental tension at the core of written music: precision versus expression. The earliest attempts to record melody, such as the ancient Greek letter notation and the early chant manuscripts of the 9th century, were groundbreaking. They allowed music to be preserved without relying on oral tradition. However, as music grew more complex, so did the symbols. The notorious notations are often those that either demanded too much from the performer—requiring encyclopedic knowledge to decode—or too little, leaving vast room for subjective interpretation. This delicate balance between control and freedom is the breeding ground for notoriety.

The Enigma of Neumes: The First Notorious Notation

Among the earliest candidates for **The Notorious Notations** are the neumes used in Gregorian chant manuscripts. Neumes are essentially squiggles,

The Notorious Notations

dots, and curves written above the text of liturgical chants. They indicate the general shape of a melody—whether it rises, falls, or stays the same—but they do not specify exact pitches or rhythms. A trained singer in the 10th century might look at a series of neumes and know exactly what to sing, but to a modern reader, these symbols are a frustrating puzzle. The ambiguity required a deep oral tradition to accompany the written signs. This dependency made neumes notorious among later musicologists trying to reconstruct authentic medieval performances. They represent the original sin of notation: leaving too much unsaid.

Neumes evolved over time, with the introduction of staff lines and clefs that finally locked down pitch. But early neumatic notation remains a notorious example of how a system can be both functional for its time and maddeningly opaque for posterity. It teaches us that notation is never just a neutral technology; it is a cultural artifact that carries the assumptions of its creators.

Tablature: The Guitarist's Nemesis and the Lutenist's Secret Code

Jumping forward several centuries, we encounter another infamous system: tablature. While modern guitarists may think of tab as a simple invention

for the internet age, tablature has a long history, particularly during the Renaissance for the lute. Lute tablature used letters, numbers, or symbols placed on a diagram of the instrument's strings and frets. It told the player exactly where to put their fingers, but it said very little about rhythm, articulation, or expression. Interpreting a piece of lute tablature without prior knowledge of the style was nearly impossible.

What makes tablature one of **The Notorious Notations** is its instrument-specific nature. A lute tab cannot be read by a violinist; it is useless without the instrument for which it was designed. This stands in stark contrast to staff notation, which (in principle) can be played by any musician. Tablature also often omitted the precise timing of notes, relying on the performer's familiarity with dance music or vocal models. This created a notorious gap: the score was only half the story. For modern performers of early music, studying lute tablature involves learning an entirely new language. The notation remains a symbol of both the intimacy and the exclusivity of historical performance practice.

The Controversy of Graphic Notation:

The Notorious Notations

Chaos on Paper

Perhaps no category better embodies the phrase **The Notorious Notations** than graphic notation, which emerged in the mid-20th century with composers like John Cage, Morton Feldman, and Earle Brown. In graphic scores, traditional note heads and staves are replaced by lines, circles, splatters, and abstract images. The performer is given a visual stimulus rather than a precise instruction. For example, Cage's *Fontana Mix* is a collection of transparent sheets with dots and lines that the performer overlays in various ways to generate a musical structure.

These notations are notorious because they challenge the very definition of a musical score. Are they instructions? Are they artworks in their own right? Do they give the performer too much freedom, or impose a different kind of tyranny? Many classically trained musicians found graphic scores frustrating because they lacked the familiar landmarks of pitch and rhythm. Others celebrated them as a liberation from the prison of staff notation. The controversy never truly died; even today, graphic scores polarize audiences and performers. They are a testament to the idea that notation is not neutral—it is a philosophical statement about the nature of music itself.

Educational Nightmares: Why Music Students Dread Certain Notational Systems

Another facet of **The Notorious Notations** is their role in music education. Certain conventions, while not inherently controversial, have become notorious for the difficulty they present to learners. For example, the use of multiple clefs (treble, bass, alto, tenor) can be a stumbling block. The alto clef, commonly used for viola, is often described as a "monster" by beginners. Similarly, the concept of transposing instruments—where a written C sounds as a B-flat or an E-flat—requires a mental gymnastics that many find infuriating.

Beyond clefs, there are rhythmic notational quirks like irrational meters (e.g., 7/8, 5/16), nested tuplets, and the infamous "cut time" vs. "common time" confusion. These are not necessarily mysterious symbols, but their prevalence in twentieth-century repertoire has earned them a reputation as stumbling blocks. They represent the notational arsenal that composers use to push performers to their limits. In this sense, **The Notorious Notations** are often the tools of the avant-garde, designed to make music look as difficult as it sounds.

The Notorious Notations

The Notorious Notation Debate: Standard vs. Alternative Systems

Throughout history, there have been numerous attempts to reform or replace traditional staff notation. Advocates argue that standard notation is biased toward Western classical music and ill-suited for microtonal music, non-Western scales, or electronic music. Alternative systems like the Klavarskribo (a keyboard-based notation using vertical lines) or the Helmholtz-Ellis notation for microtones have gained small followings but never achieved widespread adoption.

These alternative notations are often labeled as notorious because they require a complete re-learning of musical reading skills. For someone who has spent years mastering the grand staff, switching to a system that uses colors, numbers, or differently shaped noteheads feels like starting over. Moreover, these systems often fail to gain traction because the musical community is locked into the standard system by sheer inertia. Thus, **The Notorious Notations** of the reformers remain curious experiments rather than viable rivals. Yet, they push the conversation forward, forcing us to ask: What if we had built music writing differently?

The Digital Age: New Notorious Notations

In the 21st century, software-based notation has created its own set of notorious challenges. Programs like Finale, Sibelius, and Dorico generate perfectly clean scores, but they also impose their own logic. Composers now deal with the "notorious notation" of computer automation: invisible MIDI messages, articulation maps, and expression curves that are not visible on the printed page but are essential for playback. This digital layer has created a separation between the visual score and the aural realization, akin to the medieval neumes' separation from the oral tradition.

Furthermore, the rise of live electronic music has led to notations that involve flowcharts, diagrams for signal routing, and time-based graphics. These are notorious among performers who are used to note-by-note instructions. They require a new type of literacy that blends musical intuition with technical understanding. The tension between the composer's intention and the performer's interpretation is alive and well, and it is embodied in these new digital notations.

The Notorious Notations

Conclusion: The Enduring Fascination of Notorious Notations

From neumes to graphic scores, from lute tablature to microtonal reform systems, **The Notorious Notations** remind us that music writing is never a transparent window onto sound. It is an artifact of its time, imbued with the assumptions, limitations, and aspirations of its creators. What makes a notation notorious is not just its complexity, but its capacity to provoke debate. These systems challenge performers to become interpreters, historians, and co-creators. They keep music alive by refusing to be obvious. Ultimately, the most notorious notations are the ones that force us to think hardest about what music means—and that is a controversy worth preserving.