

Understanding Foucault’s Shift: A Deep Dive into The History of Sexuality Volume 2

When Michel Foucault published **History Of Sexuality Volume 2**, titled *The Use of Pleasure*, in 1984, he fundamentally altered the trajectory of his own project. The first volume, *An Introduction*, had laid out a sweeping critique of the **repressive hypothesis**—the idea that Western society had systematically silenced sex since the Victorian era. Readers expecting a straightforward chronological continuation were instead met with a dramatic pivot. Foucault abandoned his planned six-volume series and turned his gaze backward, to ancient Greece and Rome. For anyone seeking a **History Of Sexuality Volume 2 Sparknotes** style breakdown, the key is understanding why this shift occurred and what it reveals about power, ethics, and the self. This article provides a comprehensive overview of the text, its core arguments, and its lasting significance, offering a clear guide for students, scholars, and curious readers alike.

The Great Pivot: Why Foucault Changed Course

To appreciate Volume 2, one must first grasp the intellectual crisis that prompted Foucault’s change in direction. After completing Volume 1, Foucault realized that his original framework—focused primarily on the 18th and 19th centuries and the rise of biopower—was incomplete. He had masterfully described how external forces (institutions, medicine, psychiatry) regulated sexuality, but he had not adequately explored how individuals came to understand themselves as ethical subjects. This realization led him to ask a more fundamental question: How did Western culture develop the very idea that sexual behavior is a domain of moral concern?

This question could not be answered by examining modern disciplinary regimes alone. It required an archaeology of ethics itself, tracing back to the ancient world where the first systematic reflections on sexual conduct emerged. Thus, Volume 2 represents a move from an analysis of **power-knowledge** to an analysis of the **technologies of the self**. This is a crucial distinction for any **History Of Sexuality Volume 2 Sparknotes** summary to capture—the volume is less about what was forbidden and more about what was cultivated.

The Core Thesis of *The Use of Pleasure*

At its heart, Volume 2 argues that the ancient Greeks, particularly in the classical period of the 4th and 5th centuries BCE, did not possess a codified or institutionalized ethics of sexual behavior. There was no religious doctrine, no legal code, and no medical orthodoxy that comprehensively dictated sexual norms. Instead, sexuality was a domain of **self-stylization** and **aesthetics of existence**. For the Greek male citizen, sexual conduct was a matter of personal mastery, self-discipline, and the cultivation of virtue. The central ethical question was not "What acts are permitted?" but rather "How can I use pleasure in a way that demonstrates my self-control and enhances my standing as a free man?"

Foucault identifies four key concepts that structure this ancient ethical framework: **aphrodisia** (the dynamics of pleasure), **chresis** (the proper use of pleasure), **enkrateia** (self-mastery or self-rule), and **sophrosyne** (the virtuous state achieved through self-mastery). Understanding these terms is essential for any thorough **History Of Sexuality Volume 2 Sparknotes** analysis,

as they form the conceptual backbone of the entire book.

Aphrodisia: The Ontology of Pleasure

Foucault begins by examining how the Greeks conceptualized pleasure itself. **Aphrodisia** refers to the acts, gestures, and sensations associated with sexual pleasure. Critically, the Greeks did not separate the act from the desire or the pleasure; they viewed them as a unified dynamic force. This force was natural, powerful, and potentially disruptive. It was not considered inherently evil or sinful—a stark contrast with later Christian morality. Instead, it was a natural energy that required careful management. The ethical task was not to eradicate or repress this force but to govern it rationally and moderately.

Chresis: The Strategy of Moderation

Chresis concerns the "use" or "practice" of pleasure. For the Greeks, the ethical challenge was not about following universal rules but about practicing moderation (*sophrosyne*) in a context-sensitive way. The right use of pleasure depended on the individual's status, age, social role, and circumstances. For instance, a young man was expected to exercise more restraint than an older, established citizen. A magistrate had different ethical obligations than a laborer. This was a highly individualized ethics, one focused on the proper timing, intensity, and context of pleasure, rather than on a list of approved or forbidden acts. This concept is frequently highlighted in **History Of Sexuality Volume 2 Sparknotes** discussions because it represents a radically different approach to morality than what modern readers typically assume.

Enkrateia: The Athleticism of Self-Rule

Perhaps the most dynamic concept in the book is **enkrateia**, often translated as "self-mastery" or "continence." Foucault portrays this as an active, even combative, relationship with oneself. The individual who possesses *enkrateia* is engaged in a constant struggle against the forces of desire and pleasure. He is not naturally moderate; he achieves moderation through effort, vigilance, and practice. Foucault uses the metaphor of an athletic contest or a military battle—the self is the arena where the battle for control is fought. This internal struggle is not a sign of pathology; it is a mark of virtue. The man who must fight his desires and win is more admirable than one who feels no desire at all. This emphasis on struggle and self-discipline is a cornerstone of the ancient ethics Foucault describes.

Sophrosyne: The Telos of Virtue

The final goal of this ethical work is **sophrosyne**, the state of wisdom, temperance, and self-possession. This is not merely the absence of excess but a positive, achieved state of being. A man with *sophrosyne* has internalized the principles of moderation so completely that he no longer experiences the intense struggle of *enkrateia*. He has achieved a harmonious balance between reason and desire. His freedom is not the freedom to do whatever he wants, but the freedom from being a slave to his own passions. This state of self-mastery is what makes him fit to rule others, to participate in the governance of the city-state, and to be a true freeman. This teleological vision—where ethical work leads to a transformed mode of being—is central to Foucault's argument about the ancient "care of the self."

Key Areas of Ethical Concern in Ancient

Foucault does not present these concepts in the abstract. He grounds his analysis by examining three specific "domains of experience" where the ethical problematization of pleasure was most acute in ancient Greek culture. These domains are essential for any comprehensive **History Of Sexuality Volume 2 Sparknotes** study guide.

The Ethics of Dietetics: The Body and Health

The first domain is dietetics, which for the Greeks encompassed far more than food. It was a comprehensive regimen for managing the body and its health, including sleep, exercise, bathing, and, notably, sexual activity. Medical writers like Hippocrates and Galen debated the effects of sexual abstinence and activity on the body. Was sex healthy? How often should one engage in it? What was the proper season and age for sexual activity? These were medical-moral questions. Foucault shows that sexual moderation was linked directly to physical health and longevity. The man who could not control his sexual appetite was seen as endangering his body, weakening his constitution, and shortening his life. Dietetics thus provided a powerful rationale for self-regulation, one rooted in the care of the physical self.

The Ethics of Economics: The Household and the Wife

The second domain is economics, derived from the Greek word *oikos* (household). For the Athenian male citizen, the household was the fundamental unit of social and political life. Within this household, the man held authority over his wife, children, slaves, and property. His sexual conduct was therefore a matter of governance. Adultery, for example, was not a sin against a divine law but a violation of the husband's authority—a theft of property and a breach of the household order. Similarly, the husband was expected to exercise moderation with his wife, not out of egalitarian respect, but because excessive or demeaning behavior toward her reflected poorly on his own self-mastery. A man who could not govern his own household, including his sexual appetites within it, was considered unfit to govern the city. This link between domestic self-rule and political authority is a critical insight in Volume 2.

The Ethics of Erotics: The Education of Young Men

The third and most famous domain is erotics, specifically the complex social institution of pederasty—the relationship between an older male citizen (the erastes) and a younger male adolescent (the eromenos). This was a socially accepted, albeit heavily regulated, practice in classical Athens. Foucault is not interested in defending or condemning this practice but in analyzing the ethical problematization that surrounded it. The central question was: How can a relationship between a free adult male and a free youth be conducted in a beautiful and honorable way? The ethical challenge for the older man was to transform his desire for the youth into a relationship based on pedagogy, mentorship, and mutual virtue, rather than mere physical gratification. The youth's challenge was to navigate his role as the object of desire without

being seen as effeminate or submissive. This elaborate ethical code, with its focus on courtship, refusal, and sublimation, exemplifies the Greek concern with **stylizing one's conduct** rather than following a universal law. This section of the book is often the most difficult for modern readers, and it is a frequent topic in **History Of Sexuality Volume 2 Sparknotes** guides.

The Legacy and Significance of Volume 2

History Of Sexuality Volume 2 is not merely a historical study; it is a philosophical intervention with profound implications for how we understand ethics today. By excavating the Greek model of an "aesthetics of existence," Foucault offers an alternative to modern moral systems that are based on universal laws (the legal code), divine commands (religious morality), or scientific norms (medical and psychological normalcy). He presents a vision of ethics as a creative, self-reflexive practice—a project of shaping one's life into a work of art.

This has been enormously influential in fields far beyond history and philosophy. Scholars in gender studies, queer theory, literary criticism, and political theory have drawn on Volume 2 to rethink identity, desire, and freedom. Foucault's argument that the self is not a pre-existing essence to be discovered but a construct to be created has resonated deeply with those seeking to challenge fixed categories of sexuality and gender. The book's emphasis on **agency** and **self-fashioning** provides a powerful counterpoint to deterministic models of power that see individuals merely as products of social forces.

However, Volume 2 has also been the subject of substantial criticism. Some scholars argue that Foucault romanticizes ancient Greek society, glossing over its deep inequalities, particularly concerning women and slaves, who were largely excluded from this ethics of self-mastery. Others question whether the Greek model, so deeply embedded in a patriarchal and hierarchical society, can be meaningfully extracted and applied in a modern, democratic, and egalitarian context. Still others point out that the evidence for this "aesthetics of existence" is drawn from a narrow, elite, male literary tradition and may not reflect the lived reality of most ancient Greeks. These are important debates, and any serious **History Of Sexuality Volume 2 Sparknotes** should acknowledge them.

Despite these critiques, the book remains a landmark work. It reoriented Foucault's entire project and opened up new avenues for thinking about the relationship between power, knowledge, and the self. It demonstrated that the history of sexuality is not just a history of prohibitions and permissions but a history of how human beings have problematized their own existence, questioned their desires, and sought to transform themselves.

How Volume 2 Connects to Foucault's Broader Work

For readers coming to Volume 2 after Volume 1, the shift in focus can be jarring. Volume 1 is about the macro-level of population management, biopower,