

Earl Of Oxford And Shakespeare

The Shakespeare Authorship Question: Exploring the Case for Edward de Vere, the 17th Earl of Oxford

The identity of William Shakespeare, the preeminent writer of the English language, has been a subject of fascination for centuries. While the traditional narrative points to a glover's son from Stratford-upon-Avon, a persistent and compelling counter-argument has emerged, focusing on Edward de Vere, the 17th Earl of Oxford. The debate surrounding the **Earl of Oxford and**

Downloaded from tracker.dreammachineusa.org by Rosa & Rhett • Read more, learn more. •

Shakespeare is not merely a trivial pursuit; it is a deep dive into the heart of Elizabethan politics, courtly intrigue, and the very nature of artistic creation. This article explores the arguments, evidence, and enduring mystery that links the Earl of Oxford to the works of Shakespeare, offering a comprehensive look at one of literature's most captivating controversies.

The core of the argument, often referred to as the Oxfordian theory, is not that Shakespeare did not exist, but rather that the man from Stratford lacked the formal education, legal training, and intimate knowledge of courtly life and foreign travel that permeates the Shakespearean canon. Proponents suggest that Edward de Vere, a well-educated courtier, poet, and playwright who moved in the highest circles of Elizabeth's court, is a far more plausible candidate for the authorship of these masterpieces. The connection between the **Earl of Oxford and Shakespeare** hinges on a series of biographical parallels, thematic consistencies, and historical anomalies that challenge the orthodox view.

Earl Of Oxford And Shakespeare

Who Was Edward de Vere, the 17th Earl of Oxford?

To understand the argument, one must first understand the man at its center. Edward de Vere (1550-1604) was a high-ranking nobleman, a ward of Queen Elizabeth I's chief minister, William Cecil, and a figure deeply embedded in the political and cultural life of the Renaissance. He was educated at Cambridge and Oxford, studied law at Gray's Inn, and was known throughout Europe for his patronage of the arts and his own literary abilities. Contemporary sources, including Francis Meres's *Palladis Tamia* (1598), listed him as one of the "best for comedy" among the poets of the day.

The Education and Experience of an Aristocrat

The greatest hurdle for the Stratford biography is the perceived disparity between Shakespeare's known life and the vast knowledge displayed in his works. Shakespeare's plays reveal a deep and sophisticated understanding of: . . .

Downloaded from tracker.dreammachineusa.org by Rosa & Rhett • Read more, learn more. •

- **Law:** Precise and technical legal terminology is woven into plots and dialogue, suggesting more than a passing familiarity with the Inns of Court.
- **Courtly Life and Etiquette:** The intricate dances, hunts, and political machinations of the Tudor court are depicted with an insider's nuance.
- **Classical Literature and History:** The plays draw heavily from Ovid, Plutarch, and Virgil, often in the original Latin, a curriculum reserved for the elite.
- **Foreign Travel and Geography:** Detailed settings in Italy (Verona, Venice, Padua) are rendered with specific local knowledge that a provincial grammar school boy was unlikely to possess.

Edward de Vere, the 17th Earl of Oxford, possessed all of this and more. He traveled extensively through France, Germany, and Italy, staying in Venice and other key locations. His letters and poems confirm his fluency in Latin and French and his deep engagement with the intellectual currents of the time. For advocates of the connection between the **Earl of Oxford and Shakespeare**, this alignment is deeply compelling.

Earl Of Oxford And Shakespeare

The Thematic Argument: Echoes of a Noble Life in the Plays

Perhaps the most evocative evidence for the Oxfordian theory lies in the thematic obsessions that run through Shakespeare's work. These themes appear to mirror the specific tragedies and scandals of de Vere's own life, creating a powerful, if circumstantial, case.

Alienation and Royal Disfavor

De Vere enjoyed the Queen's favor early in his life but later fell from grace, becoming estranged from the court. He was known for his reckless behavior, his volatile temper, and his financial ruin. This sense of a noble figure isolated from the center of power, betrayed by those around him, is a recurring motif in Shakespeare. Consider the melancholic Duke Orsino in *Twelfth Night*, the betrayed Duke in *Measure for Measure*, or the lonely and melancholic Jacques in *As You Like It*. These characters resonate with the biography of a man who lived

Downloaded from tracker.dreammachineusa.org by Rosa & Rhett • Read more, learn more. •

on the periphery of the world he was born to rule.

The Conspiracy of the Wards and Marriage

One of the most distinct parallels involves the practice of wardship. After his father's death, de Vere became a royal ward, living under the control of William Cecil, Lord Burghley. He was later forced into a marriage with Cecil's daughter, Anne. This experience of being controlled by a powerful and overbearing statesman is frequently dramatized in Shakespeare. The relationship between Prince Hal and King Henry IV, the scheming Polonius and Ophelia in *Hamlet*, and especially the forced betrothal of Helena and Demetrius in *A Midsummer Night's Dream* are often cited by Oxfordians as disguised representations of de Vere's own life under Cecil's tutelage.

Anonymity and the Noble Code

The most persistent question in the **Earl of Oxford and Shakespeare** debate is why a nobleman would conceal his identity. The answer, according to Oxfordians,

Earl Of Oxford And Shakespeare

is simple: social stigma. In the Elizabethan era, it was considered beneath the dignity of a gentleman, let alone an Earl, to publish poetry or plays for public profit. Playwriting was a trade for commoners. To attach his name to a play in the public theater would have brought shame and scandal to his family and title. The use of a pseudonym—the name "William Shakespeare"—was a practical and necessary screen. The Stratford man, an actor and businessman in the theater, was the perfect front: a real person who could take the credit (and the blame) while the true author remained hidden in plain sight, writing under the protective cloak of anonymity.

The Textual and Historical Evidence

Beyond the biographical parallels, Oxfordians point to specific historical and textual clues that they believe link the **Earl of Oxford and Shakespeare** directly.

The "Sonnets" as Autobiography

Shakespeare's Sonnets are widely considered to be deeply personal. The sequence details a love triangle involving the poet, a "Fair Youth" (a young nobleman), and a "Dark Lady." The poet is depicted as older, balding, and socially inferior, yet possessing a deep love for the youth. Oxfordians identify the Fair Youth as Henry Wriothesley, the 3rd Earl of Southampton, a young man who was also a ward of William Cecil and a known patron of the arts. The rival poet (often seen as Christopher Marlowe or George Chapman) and the dark lady (potentially one of the Queen's ladies-in-waiting) fit the biographical details of de Vere's courtly affairs. The sonnet sequence is read not as fictional exercises but as a coded autobiography of de Vere's life, love, and despair.

Literary and Linguistic Fingerprints

Some linguistic analysts have pointed to shared vocabulary, unique metaphors, and specific turns of phrase that appear in de Vere's known poems and in the Shakespearean canon. While "stylometry" is a complex field and not definitive,

Earl Of Oxford And Shakespeare

the density of these shared "rare words" and syntactical structures is seen by some as statistically significant. Furthermore, the marginalia in de Vere's personal Bible (the Geneva Bible) contain annotations that some scholars argue foreshadow or directly parallel lines from the plays. While these are often termed "parallels," they remain a central part of the evidential tool kit for those who champion the link between the **Earl of Oxford and Shakespeare**.

The "Tempest" and the Lost Colony of Roanoke

The play *The Tempest* is often cited as a major problem for the Stratford biography. It is believed to have been written around 1610-1611, drawing heavily on a 1610 pamphlet about a shipwreck in Bermuda. However, Edward de Vere died in 1604. This is the single greatest obstacle for the Oxfordian theory. To counter this, Oxfordians argue that the play was written much earlier, based on accounts of the Lost Colony of Roanoke (1587) and the voyages of Sir Humphrey Gilbert, which de Vere was directly involved in funding. They suggest that the "Sea Venture" shipwreck of 1609 merely provided a topical refresh to an older play that had already been written by de Vere. This remains a highly contested

point, but it is central to the chronological debate.

The Stratford Response and the Orthodox View

The traditional or "Stratfordian" position holds that the evidence for William Shakespeare of Stratford is overwhelming. They point to:

- **Contemporary Testimony:** Numerous fellow playwrights (Ben Jonson), publishers, and actors identified Shakespeare as the author. Jonson's poem in the First Folio (1623) explicitly praises "the Sweet Swan of Avon."
- **Legal and Business Records:** There are extensive documents proving Shakespeare's life in London as an actor, a shareholder in the Lord Chamberlain's Men, and a successful businessman who purchased New Place in Stratford.
- **The "Upstart Crow" Rant:** The playwright Robert Greene's famous attack on an "upstart crow" (1592) is seen as direct evidence of a rival playwright

Earl Of Oxford And Shakespeare

(an actor) being mocked for thinking he could write plays.

Stratfordians argue that the lack of a university education does not preclude genius. They claim that Shakespeare was an autodidact who could absorb knowledge from books, conversation, and his work in the legal and courtly district of London. They view the Oxfordian theory as a form of intellectual snobbery, the assumption that only a nobleman could possess such genius. However, the core appeal of the Oxfordian theory remains its ability to explain the *personal* nature of the plays and the *disparity* between the life of a glover's son and the immense knowledge of a courtier that is displayed on every page.

Conclusion: A Mystery That Endures

The debate over the **Earl of Oxford and Shakespeare** is unlikely to be settled definitively. The absence of a smoking gun—a letter from de Vere claiming authorship or a draft manuscript in his handwriting—leaves the door open for endless speculation. What makes the Oxfordian theory so enduring is not simply its desire to topple a monument, but its profound respect for the works

Downloaded from tracker.dreammachineusa.org by Rosa & Rhett • Read more, learn more. •

themselves. It seeks a biographical source deep enough to match the depth of the art. Whether one is a committed Oxfordian, a staunch Stratfordian, or a curious agnostic, the question forces us to engage with Shakespeare's works on a deeper level, asking not just "what do these words mean" but "who was the soul that shaped them?" The mystery of the "Earl of Oxford and Shakespeare" is a testament to the power of great literature to inspire wonder, even about its own creation. Ultimately, the genius of the plays remains the single most important fact, regardless of which name history decides to place upon the title page.